Combilytics Corp

NAD+ infusions are ineffective, increasing endogenous NAD+ is possible with precursors.

NAD^+ infusions have gained popularity in wellness and longevity circles, but they are largely ineffective at raising **cellular (intracellular)** NAD^+ — the form that actually powers mitochondria and sirtuin activity — for biochemical and pharmacokinetic reasons.

Why NAD^+ Infusions Don't Work

1. **Poor Cellular Uptake**
   NAD^+ cannot easily cross the plasma membrane because it is a large, charged molecule. When infused intravenously, it remains mostly in the **extracellular compartment** (blood plasma) rather than entering cells where it is needed for metabolic processes and DNA repair.

2. **Rapid Degradation in Blood**
   Once in circulation, NAD^+ is rapidly degraded by extracellular enzymes (ecto-nucleotidases like CD38, CD157, and ENPP1) into **nicotinamide (NAM)**, **adenosine**, and other metabolites within hours. These degradation products are mostly excreted rather than reincorporated into the intracellular NAD^+ pool.

3. **Transient Extracellular Effects**
   Any NAD^+ that remains extracellularly acts as a **distress signal**, potentially triggering immune and inflammatory responses (manifesting clinically as nausea, flushing, and body aches during infusion). This is because high plasma NAD^+ concentrations are interpreted by the body as a sign of cell damage.

4. **Lack of Evidence for Cellular or Longevity Benefits**
   To date, there is **only one published kinetic study** on IV NAD^+ in humans, which demonstrated a temporary plasma NAD^+ rise during a 6-hour infusion but **no meaningful increase** in intracellular or whole-blood NAD^+ 24 hours later.

What Works Better to Raise NAD^+

1. **Use of NAD^+ Precursors**
   Compounds such as **nicotinamide riboside (NR)** and **nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN)** can cross cell membranes through dedicated transporters and feed into the **NAD^+ salvage pathway**, effectively increasing intracellular NAD^+ over time.

   - In clinical trials, oral NMN (250–300 mg/day) and NR (~300–1000 mg/day) elevated blood NAD^+ levels by 30–100% within 8–12 weeks.
   - NR and NMN show strong safety profiles and favorable absorption in tissue studies, including muscle and liver.

2. **Nutrient Cofactor Optimization**
   NAD^+ synthesis depends on **adequate magnesium and zinc** for activation of NMNAT and other enzymes in the salvage pathway. These are often deficient in adults and can limit NAD^+ increases even when precursors are supplied.

3. **Emerging Alternatives**

   - **Nicotinamide riboside hydride (NRH)** is a reduced, next-generation NAD^+ precursor that appears to raise NAD^+ levels more potently and rapidly in preclinical studies.
   - **Combination strategies** pairing NAD^+ precursors with **CD38 inhibitors** (e.g., apigenin, quercetin) can reduce extracellular NAD^+ catabolism and sustain NAD^+ stores.

Summary Table: NAD^+ Infusion vs. Precursors

Parameter	IV NAD^+ Infusion	Oral NR / NMN
Cellular uptake	Very poor; remains extracellular	High; uses specific transporters
Blood NAD^+ duration	Short (hours)	Sustained increase (weeks)
Mechanism	Direct plasma increase; no cellular penetration	NAD^+ built intracellularly via salvage pathway
Evidence for benefit	Minimal, mostly anecdotal	Demonstrated cellular NAD^+ rise and metabolic benefit
Side effects	Nausea, cramps, flushing	Mild GI upset (rare)

In summary, IV NAD^+ infusions are pharmacologically inefficient due to cell impermeability and rapid degradation. The most effective and scientifically supported ways to raise endogenous NAD^+ are **oral or sublingual NMN/NR supplementation**, cofactors like **magnesium and zinc**, and lifestyle modifiers such as **fasting, exercise, and circadian alignment** that upregulate **NAMPT** activity.

1. https://www.jinfiniti.com/what-is-nad-iv-therapy/
2. https://rachelepojednic.substack.com/p/heres-what-you-need-to-know-about
3. https://www.nature.com/articles/s42255-025-01387-7
4. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10240123/
5. https://iadns.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/fft2.511
6. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006291X24001256
7. https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.adr1538
8. https://doctormurphy.substack.com/p/the-great-nad-debate-infusions-under
9. https://www.mdlinx.com/article/is-nad-therapy-all-its-cracked-up-to-be-heres-the-evidence/4nto35HwTjVcM6Y9J3anaF
10. https://www.newsweek.com/anti-aging-nad-drips-iv-wellness-doctors-2007625
11. https://www.nad.com/news/are-there-hidden-dangers-to-taking-nad-supplements
12. https://www.cnbc.com/2025/03/11/nad-is-the-latest-trendy-anti-aging-supplement-what-do-doctors-say.html
13. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12177089/
14. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8444956/
15. https://www.awhealthinstitute.org/post/ultimate-guide-to-nad-therapy-most-commonly-asked-questions-about-nad-and-nad
16. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7558103/
17. https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/full/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.056589
18. https://www.ivyrx.com/blog/nad-injections-vs-iv
19. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003999321011096
20. https://www.verywellhealth.com/nad-iv-therapy-8783961